



Parish Council Rushmere St Andrew

www.rushmerestandrew.onesuffolk.net

Minutes of the Planning & Transportation Committee meeting held on Thursday, 30th January 2024 at St Andrews' Church Hall at 7.00pm

CHAIRMAN: Cllr R Whiting

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT: Cllr B Ward, Cllr K Driver, Cllr R Whiting, Cllr M Newton, Cllr M Odam, Cllr S Taylor

OTHER ATTENDEES: Members of the public 0

APOLOGIES: Cllr D Francis (unwell) Cllr J Westrup (another commitment) Cllr P Phillpot (another commitment) Cllr J Wright (another commitment)

OTHER APOLOGIES:

CLERK: Mr P Massey (Clerk). Mrs S Stannard (Assistant Clerk)
Minutes taken by Mrs Stannard

1. APOLOGIES, APPROVAL OF ABSENCE, PROTOCOL & CONDUCT REMINDERS

The Chairman reminded Councillors of the Code of Conduct, protocol for debate and statutory rights to film, record, photograph or otherwise report on the proceedings of the meeting and the protocol for face-to-face meetings. Apologies were received as above. Cllr Taylor proposed that the apologies be accepted, seconded by Cllr Nunn. Resolved with ALL in favour.

2. DECLARATIONS OF COUNCILLOR INTEREST

Cllr Nunn declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 5 (DC/25/0086/FUL)

Cllr Driver declared a non-pecuniary interest in item 5 (DC/24/4544/FUL)

3. TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 5th DECEMBER 2024

Cllr Newton proposed acceptance of the minutes. Seconded by Cllr Nunn. Resolved with ALL in favour.

The minutes were corrected and duly signed as a correct record.

4. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- a. **Public Forum – Members of the Public/ Parish Councillors may raise items relating to this agenda or any matter concerning the parish**

No matters arising

5. TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING PLANNING APPLICATIONS

| | | | |
|---|--|---------|--|
| DC/24/4543 | 19 Birchwood, Rushmere St Andrew | IP5 1EB | Proposed removal of rear conservatory. New single storey rear extension, new roof structure over existing garage. New porch to front of existing dwelling. |
| <p>Cllr Whiting provided a situation report following the examination of the proposal and documentation. The application was considered carefully.</p> <p>Response: Cllr Driver proposed that the application be approved. Seconded by Cllr Taylor. Resolved with ALL in favour.</p> | | | |
| DC/24/4544/FUL | Sports Ground 2, Playford Road, Rushmere St Andrew | | Siting of temporary modular buildings adjacent to existing buildings. The temporary buildings are required by the club to meet the standards of a Category 1 Status Academy. |
| <p>Cllr Whiting provided a situation report following the examination of the proposal and documentation. The application was considered carefully.</p> <p>Response: Cllr Taylor proposed that the application be approved. Seconded by Cllr Nunn. Resolved with MAJORITY in favour. Cllr Driver abstained.</p> | | | |
| DC/25/0086/FUL | 12 Meadowside Gardens, Rushmere St Andrew | IP4 5RD | Proposed detached garage, extensions and alterations |
| <p>Cllr Whiting provided a situation report following the examination of the proposal and documentation. The application was considered carefully.</p> <p>Response: Cllr Driver proposed that the application be refused for the following reasons:</p> <p>The proposal seeks to convert the existing bungalow into a two-story dwelling, incorporating multiple Velux windows and Juliette balconies. The application site has a small rear garden. Additionally, a double garage is proposed along the rear boundary of the site. The proposal conflicts with Policies SCLP11.1 and SCLP11.2 of the Suffolk Coastal Local Plan, as well as Policy RSA9 of the Rushmere St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan. The scale of the proposed alterations is significant and would result in overdevelopment of the site. Furthermore, it would negatively impact the amenity of neighbouring properties, leading to a loss of privacy for Nos. 24, 26, and 30 Bent Lane, as well as No. 11 Meadowside Gardens. These adverse effects make the proposal unsuitable for the location. Vehicle access to the garage would be challenging, raising concerns from the Parish Council that it may not be used for domestic purposes ancillary to the main dwelling. The garage is also a substantial structure positioned at the rear boundary and this would have a detrimental impact on the amenity of neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Seconded by Cllr Odam. Resolved with MAJORITY in favour. Cllr Nunn abstained.</p> | | | |

7. DETERMINATION OF ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDA

No matters arising

8. CLOSE OF MEETING

The Chairman closed the meeting at 7:19pm.